



NBS Monthly Bulletin

FEBRUARY 2014

Published by: © Národná banka Slovenska

Address: Národná banka Slovenska Imricha Karvaša 1, 813 25 Bratislava Slovakia

Contact: +421/02/5787 2146

http://www.nbs.sk

Debated by the NBS Bank Board on 4 March 2014.

All rights reserved. Reproduction for educational and non-commercial purposes is permitted provided that the source is acknowledged.

ISSN 1337-9526 (online)



CONTENTS

1	SUMMARY	6
2	REAL ECONOMY	7
2.1	GDP flash estimate	7
2.2	Sales	9
2.3	Forward-looking indicators	10
2.4	Industrial and construction	
	production	11
2.5	Trade balance	12
3	LABOUR MARKET	15
4	PRICES	19
5	PUBLIC FINANCES	21
6	OUAL ITATIVE IMPACT ON	
•	THE FORECAST	22
ANN	EX	
Conso	olidation effort and fiscal impulse	
updat	ted against the November Analysis	
of the	e General Government Budget	
Propo	osal for 2014–2016	24
0.455		
		20
		29
LIST	OF TABLES	
Table 1	Okun's law quarterly coefficients for	
	Slovakia	15
Table 2	HICP components – comparison	
	of projected and actual rates of	
	change	19
Table 3	How the tax collection outlook under	
i dibite b	MTF-2013O4U macroeconomic	
	conditions differs from that based	
	on IFP assumptions (February 2014)	25
Table 4	Consolidation effort and fiscal	
	impulse	28
Table 5	Selected economic and monetary	
	indicators for the SR	29
LIST	OF CHARTSTS	
Chart 1	Forward-looking indicators and	
	euro-area GDP growth	7
Chart 2	2 GDP growth	7

	-		
Chart 3 GDP	and	industrial	production

8

Chart 4	Investment	8
Chart 5	Consumer prices, producer prices	
	and the GDP deflator	8
Chart 6	Total sales at constant prices	9
Chart 7	Total sales by contributions of	
	selected sectors	9
Chart 8	Domestic trade sales by contributions	
	of selected segments	9
Chart 9	Economic Sentiment Indicator	10
Chart 10	Industrial confidence indicator	10
Chart 11	Economic Sentiment Indicator	10
Chart 12	Economic Sentiment Indicator for	
	Germany	11
Chart 13	GDP and industrial production in	
	Germany	11
Chart 14	Euro-area GDP growth estimate	
	for Q1 2014	11
Chart 15	Industrial production	12
Chart 16	Industrial production – principal	
	contributions to monthly rate of	
	change	12
Chart 17	Construction production	12
Chart 18	Construction production	12
Chart 19	Twelve-month cumulative trade	
	balance	13
Chart 20	Goods exports	13
Chart 21	Goods exports estimate according	
	to monthly indicators	13
Chart 22	Goods imports estimate according	
	to monthly indicators	14
Chart 23	Employment estimated using	
	Okun's law	15
Chart 24	Employment – monthly rate of	
	change by sectoral contributions	16
Chart 25	Rates of change in employment	16
Chart 26	Comparison of current forecast	
	and developments in employment	
	(ESA 95)	16
Chart 27	Unemployment	17
Chart 28	Number of unemployed	17
Chart 29	Nominal wages – annual rate of	
	change by sectoral contribution	18
Chart 30	Wage developments in the economy	18
Chart 31	Wage growth	18
Chart 32	Wage developments and nominal	
	labour productivity	18
Chart 33	Composition of annual inflation	20
Chart 34	Headline inflation rate	20



Chart 35	Selected inflation components	20
Chart 36	Annualised net inflation excluding	
	fuel prices	20
Chart 37	GDP, industrial production and sales	22
Chart 38	GDP and the economic sentiment	
	indicator	22
Chart 39	Employers' expectations and the	
	annual rate of change in employment	22

Chart 40 Consumer	rs' inflation perceptions	
and HICP	inflation 2	23
Chart 41 Structural	balance	
(EC metho	odology) 2	26
Chart 42 Consolida	tion effort (national	
methodol	ogy) 2	26
Chart 43 Fiscal imp	ulse 2	27



ABBREVIATIONS

CPI	Consumer Price Index
EA	euro area
ECB	European Central Bank
EC	European Commission
EIA	Energy Information Administration
EMU	Economic and Monetary Union
EONIA	euro overnight index average
ESA 95	European System of National Accounts 1995
EU	European Union
Eurostat	Statistical Office of the European Communities
FDI	foreign direct investment
Fed	Federal Reserve System
EMU	Economic and Monetary Union
EURIBOR	euro interbank offered rate
FNM	Fond národného majetku – National Property Fund
GDP	gross domestic product
GNDI	gross national disposable income
GNI	gross national income
HICP	Harmonised Index of Consumer Prices
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IPI	industrial production index
IRF	initial rate fixation
MFI	monetary financial institutions
MF SR	Ministry of Finance of the Slovak Republic
MMF	money market fund
NARKS	National Association of Real Estate Offices of Slovakia
NBS	Národná banka Slovenska
NEER	nominal effective exchange rate
NPISHs	Non-profit Institutions serving households
OIF	open-end investment fund
p.a.	per annum
p.p.	percentage points
qoq	quarter-on-quarter
PPI	Producer Price Index
REER	real effective exchange rate
SASS	Slovenská asociácia správcovských spoločností – Slovak Association of Asset
	Management Companies
SO SR	Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic
SR	Slovenská republika – Slovak Republic
ULC	unit labour costs
VAT	value-added tax
уоу	year-on-year

Symbols used in the tables

Data are not yet available.
Data do not exist / data are not applicable.

(p) – Preliminary data



1 SUMMARY¹

The euro area economy showed positive trends in the fourth quarter of 2013. Activity accelerated to 0.3%, confirming expectations for a gradual recovery of growth. Particularly the growth in large countries, assumed to be led by investment and exports, bodes well for future developments and may confirm the assumption of gradually accelerating external demand for Slovak goods and services in the most recent NBS forecast (MTF-2013Q4U). The latest indictors suggest that growth will continue in the first quarter of 2014.

In Slovakia, GDP growth increased moderately to 0.4%, most likely due to the contributions of investment demand and consumption. Monthly figures for sales and output indicated an increase in activity growth in the last guarter of 2013. This view was further supported by export growth. Indicators of private consumption growth include retail sales, higher VAT revenues, and continued strengthening of consumer confidence. With the construction sector having reported a second consecutive guarter of growth after a long period in negative territory, the most recent projections of an improvement in investment may be confirmed. Investment may be partially supported by imports, as is indicated by the composition of imports.

Economic sentiment continued to pick up at the beginning of the year. The economic sentiment indicator increased moderately in January, in line with euro-area sentiment indicators. February saw a slight correction as industry, services and consumer confidence all dipped.

As the economy accelerated moderately, the labour market situation also improved. This was indicated by monthly figures in the fourth quarter of 2013 showing nascent job creation and a drop in the unemployment rate, and then confirmed by the flash estimate for employment in that period. Employment increased by 0.3% and, according to monthly data, recruitment was concentrated in the productive sectors of industry and construction. Wage growth declined in December.

Inflation was moderately lower than expected, owing to slower inflation in services and regulated prices. Looking at current data, the assumption is that annual inflation will remain highly subdued, close to zero, in the first half of 2014; there are no expectations of deflation, however, since the decrease in energy prices in January is assumed to have been offset by the end of the downward trend in food prices.

The main implications for the medium-term forecast stem from the labour market, where employment growth has increased moderately while wage growth declined in the fourth quarter of 2013.

Tax collection is improving slightly and therefore the risk to the government deficit target of 0.3% of GDP diminished slightly.²

- All month-on-month and quarteron-quarter changes mentioned in the text have been seasonally adjusted using internal seasonal models.
- 2 Nevertheless, so-called methodological risks to the deficit, both for last year and this year, remain significant.



6



2 REAL ECONOMY

2.1 GDP FLASH ESTIMATE

Euro area growth increased modestly and key economies expanded

According to Eurostat's flash estimate, euro area GDP grew in the fourth quarter of 2013 by 0.3% over the previous quarter (0.1%), which was a third successive quarterly increase. As for the geographical breakdown of that growth, all key euro-area economies made a positive contribution.

Germany's economic growth in the fourth quarter edged up to 0.4%, reflecting mainly the positive contribution of net exports and increased investment in machinery and equipment as well as in the construction sector. The level of public consumption remained unchanged from the previous quarter, while private consumption dropped slightly.

The French economy, after stagnating in the third quarter, increased by 0.3% in the fourth quarter with upward contributions from all domestic demand

components. Investment increased after seven quarters in decline. Net exports also contributed positively, as export growth increased over the previous quarter while import growth slowed.

Looking at the performance of euro-area economies in the fourth quarter of 2013 as well as at current forward-looking indicators, aggregate euro-area growth is expected to remain at a similar level in the first quarter of 2014.

In the Czech Republic, GDP growth for the third quarter of 2013 was revised significantly upwards, from -0.5% to 0.2%. Furthermore, the flash GDP estimate for the fourth quarter, showed Czech economic growth accelerating markedly, to 1.6%. Although the composition of the quarterly GDP growth has not yet been published, the assumption is that the investment and export components were the main drivers.

Although the increase in Slovakia's GDP was modest, it may indicate that the economy is emerging from a period of relatively weak performance



Source: Eurostat, Bloomberg, European Commission, and NBS calculations.

Note: The GDP figure for Q4 2013 is Eurostat's flash estimate. ESI normed and centred at the PMI's long-run average.



Source: SO SR. Note: The GDP figure for Q4 2013 is the SO SR's flash estimate.





The flash estimate published by the Slovak Statistical Office confirmed expectations of moderate economic growth in the fourth quarter of 2013. It may be that improvements in sentiment and in assessments of demand, output and the overall economic and business environment, as well as growth figures for industrial production, sales and, in particular, exports, indicate a return to higher economic growth.

GDP in the fourth quarter increased by 0.4% over the previous quarter (by 1.5% year-on-year), which broadly corresponded to the projection in the most recent NBS forecast (MTF-Q42013U).

Although the details of the GDP composition have not yet been published, monthly figures imply that investment activity picked up in the fourth quarter. Signs of an upturn in investment were noticeable in the construction sector, while sales saw an increase in technology purchases. Another significant source of investment growth may be imports. As for the composition of imports in the last quarter of 2013, imports of investment stock increased markedly.

Retail turnover and imports for final consumption in the second and third quarters of 2013 (which built up inventories for the last quarter) suggest an end to the downward trend in household consumption and therefore support assumptions





of a modest rise in household consumption in the fourth quarter.

Strong rises in monthly export figures indicate that the external environment supported GDP growth in the fourth quarter. This contribution was, however, significantly offset by import growth, driven probably by a revival in investment.



The low-inflation environment in the consumer market and simultaneous decline in producer, export and import prices was reflected in the GDP deflator, which was close to zero. Therefore the general price level in the economy did not enter deflationary territory in the fourth quarter of 2013. Chart 5, however, suggests that deflation cannot be ruled out.

2.2 SALES³

Sales towards year-end adversely affected by industry developments

Total sales in the economy fell in December 2013 by 0.8% over the previous month, but increased by 5.5% year-on-year. The monthly drop in sales was largely accounted for by the industry component, in particular by lower sales in the manufacturing segments of transport equipment, electronics, and plastics. The overall decline was to a large extent curbed by positive sales results in energy supply.

Also contributing positively to overall sales were wholesale trade sales, in particular sales of information and communication technology, which may be related to the increase in technology purchases made by firms towards the year end. Retail sales fell marginally in December.

Chart 6 Total sales at constant prices (%)



Note: Internal calculation for constant prices.

Chart 7 Total sales by contributions of selected sectors (month-on-month changes at constant prices; p.p.)



Compared to the third quarter of 2013, overall sales growth increased to 2.1% amid a pick-up in household final consumption growth as well as an increase in overall GDP growth in the fourth quarter of 2013 (according to the projection in the MTF-2013U forecast). The improvement in consumer sentiment identified by business



Note: Internal calculation for constant prices.

3 Turnover in domestic trade and selected sectors is the most informative "hard" indicator of GDP developments.

NBS



tendency surveys in January may, in conjunction with projected slowdown in inflation, have an upward effect on sales and consumption in 2014. Expectations for industry developments in the near term have also improved.

2.3 FORWARD-LOOKING INDICATORS

The economic sentiment indicator (ESI) for Slovakia declined in February by 4.4 points, to 93.7. Confidence fell in industry, services and among consumers, while confidence in the retail and construction sectors increased.

Despite the weakening of confidence in Slovakia, the positive sentiment in the euro area, notably in Germany, supports the assumption that Slovakia's economic growth will increase gradually in the first half of 2014.

Economic sentiment indicators for both the euro area and Germany increased again in February. The PMI for the euro area fell marginally (to 52.7, from 52.9 in January), while Germany's PMI maintained a rising trend (up to 56.1, from 55.5). The Ifo business climate index for Germany also improved in February, though the component of expectations for future developments edged down to 108.3 (from 108.9 in January). Although

Chart 9 Economic Sentiment Indicator







the German ZEW index fell in February (to 55.7, from 61.7 in January), it remains far above the long-run average (24.5). These indicators point to continuing economic recovery in both Germany and the euro area as a whole. This view is further supported by Now-Casting.com, according to which euro-area economic growth could be similar to its level in the last quarter of 2013.









2.4 INDUSTRIAL AND CONSTRUCTION PRODUCTION

Fall in industrial production caused by automotive industry

Industrial production in December was 0.8% lower compared to the previous month and increased by



Chart 14 Euro-area GDP growth estimate for

12.2% year-on-year. The drop was caused mainly by the automotive industry and to a significant extent also by the manufacturing segments of metals, textile and electronics. The rate of decline may have reflected November's strong growth in car production, which in large part compensated for the temporary shutdown of car factories in December. Industrial production for the year as a whole increased by 5.2%, with most of that growth accounted for by car production (Slovakia produced some 980,000 cars in 2013, an increase of 5.8% year-on-year), as well as by the manufacturing segments of electrical equipment and metals.

Despite falling in December, industrial production rose quarter-on-quarter by 2.1%. Hence industry, like sales, contributed positively to the increase in GDP growth in the fourth quarter of 2013. The gradual improvement in industry confidence overall and in expectations for the future indicates industry developments will remain favourable in the next period.

Construction production was 3.9 % higher in December 2013 than in the previous month and increased by 10.2 % year-on-year. The growth was driven largely by domestic construction work.The segments of building construction and new civil engineering construction both

NBS









maintained month-on-month growth. For the first time in almost two years, the annual rate of change in construction production was positive for a second successive month. An essential factor in the construction recovery has been the continuing mild weather. These construction sector developments confirm the revival in investment in the fourth quarter of 2013.



Chart 18 Construction production (monthly percentage changes; constant prices)



2.5 TRADE BALANCE

Trade surplus ended year at an all-time high

The 12-month cumulative trade surplus was 6.1% of GDP at the end of December 2013, exceeding any previous full-year surplus (including the 5% of GDP reported for 2012). Exports and imports

NBS



for the year as a whole increased by 3.7% and 2.5% respectively.

The trade figures would have been stronger still had the trade balance for December not been in deficit. According to preliminary data, imports increased by 12.5% year-on-year while goods exports rose by 9%.

December's exports fell by 1.4% month-onmonth after rising strongly in November. This correction was largely caused by car producers, which ramped up exports in November and then reduced them in December in connection with a planned temporary shutdown of production lines.

Nominal goods exports in the fourth quarter of 2013 were still, however, 3.4% higher than in the third quarter. The quarter-on-quarter growth in exports may have been even greater in real terms, with exporter prices on a 15-month-long downward trend (falling to a level previously recorded at the beginning of 2011).

Not only exports, but also imports declined month-on-month, albeit to a far lesser extent (0.5%). A sharper decrease was prevented by



investment imports, whose rising trend in October and November continued in December (according to preliminary data).

December's marginal decline in imports followed the two months of the year in which

Update)

2014



NBS **MONTHLY BULLETIN** FEBRUARY 2014

13



Chart 22 Goods imports estimate according to monthly indicators (quarter-on-quarter percentage changes)



import growth was highest and which ensured that imports for the fourth quarter as a whole were 4.6% higher compared to the third quarter. Monthly export figures were strong enough to support an increase in GDP growth in the fourth quarter.



3 LABOUR MARKET

After beginning to pick up in November, employment growth across the selected sectors increased even more in December (by 0.4% month-on-month). Employment increased in the sectors of industry and construction and remained largely unchanged in the other sectors. The moderate recovery of activity (as observed in, for example, production and sales) began to have an upward effect on recruitment demand. Given that employment lags GDP growth (Table 1 showing the application of Okun's law in Slovakia), this tendency is expected to continue at the beginning of 2014. According to employment expectations, employment dynamics should remain positive in the near term.

As regards the Okun's law estimate for Slovakia, a coefficient (elasticity) of -0.1 (and 0.1 for employment) means that GDP growth of 1% for a period of at least one year will cause the unemployment rate to decline by around 0.1 percentage point (and employment to increase by 0.1%). GDP growth, however, according to estimated relationships, affects the labour market with a lag of around three quarters, during which the downward impact of GDP growth on the unemployment rate may be cumulatively around 0.5 percentage point (with an upward impact on employment of 0.6%). Since the estimated elasticity may change over time, it is only an approximate estimate. According to such estimates (for the period from 1995 to the third quarter of 2013), annual GDP growth must be around 3.2% in order to cause an increase in employment. However, for

the current period (2005 to the third quarter of 2013), GDP growth of around 2% is sufficient to increase employment.

The chart shows a discrepancy between the Okun's law estimate and the actual data for private sector employment in 2010 and 2011. This is partly explained by the postponement of new recruitment owing to the then uncertain economic climate. Employment increased



Source: SO SR, NBS calculations.

Note: Private sector employment includes the whole economy except for the sectors of public administration, defence, education, human health and social work activities.

Table 1 Okun's law quarterly coefficients for Slovakia										
	Equation with un	employment rate	Equation with employment							
	coefficient	p-value	coefficient	p-value						
GDP (t)	-0.14	0.000	0.11	0.009						
GDP (t-1)	-0.09	0.009	0.13	0.004						
GDP (t-2)	-0.11	0.003	0.14	0.003						
GDP (t-3)	-0.12	0.000	0.09	0.052						
Equation with the	D(UR) = C + a	1*DLOG(HDP) + a2*DL	_OG(HDP(-1))+ a3* DLC)G(HDP(-2)) +						
unemployment rate		a4*DLOG(HDP(-3)) -	+ a5*DLOG(HDP(-4))							
Equation with private sector	DLOG(Z PR) = C + b1*DLOG(Z PR(-1)) + b2*DLOG(HDP) + b3*DLOG(HDP(-1))									
employment	b4*DLOG(HDP(-2)) + b5*DLOG(HDP(-3))									
Source: NBS.										

Note: For an explanation of the estimate methodology see, for example, Ball et al. (2012) "Okun's Law: Fit at Fifty?".





in the third and fourth quarters of 2010, but in a standard period it would have done so somewhat earlier. In 2011, however, the employment situation was relatively buoyant, as would be expected according to Okun's law. In this case, the growth-supporting effects are assumed to have stemmed mainly from large investment in the automotive industry and from the introduction of more flexible employment legislation. Furthermore, the postcrisis conditions of the period resulted in an upsurge of foreign direct investment in Slovakia, which, according to the Trade and Investment Development Agency (SARIO), led to the direct creation of 4,400 jobs. Another sector that saw a sharp rise in employment was administration, support service and professional activities (legal and accounting activities, tax consultancy, and other services), but since this increase may not have been entirely related to GDP growth, it was not captured by Okun's law.

According to the flash estimate, total employment in the economy in the fourth quarter increased, quarter-on-quarter, by 0.3%, which was higher than projected in the most recent NBS forecast (MTF-2013Q4U). This growth, along with developments in confidence indicators and registered unemployment (lower) point to a continuation of this favourable situation in the beginning of 2014, and therefore the MTF-2013 Q4U forecast's assumption of moderate employment growth in 2014 may be realistic. The stronger fourth-quarter increase automatically



employment contracts was estimated using reports submitted to the SO SR by larger firms (PROD 3-04). The indicator "shortage of labour force" is included in EC business surveys. and developments in employment (ESA 95) 0.8 32 0.6 2.4 0.4 1.6 0.8 02 0.0 0.0 -0.8 -0.2 1.6 -0.4 -2.4 -0.6 -32 -0,8 -2010 2011 2012 2013 2014 Quarter-on-quarter changes Quarter-on-quarter changes – MTF-2013Q4U Year-on-year changes Year-on-year changes – MTF-2013Q4U (right-hand scale)

Chart 26 Comparison of current forecast

Source: SO SR, NBS calculations.

Note: The ESA employment figure for Q4 2013 is the SO SR's flash estimate.



adds two tenths of a percent to the 2014 employment growth projected in the forecast.

After emerging largely in the fourth quarter of 2014, the downward trend in the number of jobseekers continued in January. The seasonally adjusted drop of some 4,800 job-seekers was only around 1,000 lower compared to December, the assumption being that the warmer weather in December resulted in more seasonal workers being retained (for example, in the construction sector). The registered unemployment rate, seasonally unadjusted, increased by 0.11 percentage point, but after adjusting for seasonal increases in December, the rate decreased. The overall unemployment rate followed a very similar pattern, since there was no movement between the categories of job-seekers available and unavailable for work. The number of people finding work remains at the levels observed at the end of 2013, hence around four to five thousande higher than during the labour market downturn in late 2012 and early 2013.

The brighter unemployment picture along with improved employment expectations and soft economic indicators suggests that the favourable trend may continue in coming months. If that assumption is confirmed, the drop in the unemployment rate for the first quarter of 2014 could be even greater than the level (0.2 p.p.) projected in the MTF-2013Q4U forecast.



Source: Central Office of Labour Social Affairs and Family, NBS calculations.

Chart 28 Number of unemployed (thousands of persons)



Nominal wages in December declined yearon-year by a substantial 0.9%, and also fell in comparison with the previous month, by 0.8%. The annual rate of change reflected a sizeable base effect, since the previous year saw higher bonuses paid towards the year-end, probably in order to avoid an increase in contributions scheduled for the new year. Abstracting this effect, wages in December 2013 would have increased. albeit at a slower pace. Nevertheless, both monthon-month and guarter-on-guarter developments point to a slight slowdown in wage growth, which may possibly be explained by weaker sales results in December. The pick-up in new recruitment also weighed on wage growth, but only very moderately by around a tenth of a percentage point (as most new employees were probably hired on lower salaries). Wage growth decelerated in a majority of the selected sectors, the exceptions being sale of motor vehicles, wholesale trade, and accommodation activities.

The slowdown in wage growth (other than that caused by the base effect) may mean wage growth in the economy as a whole will be lower than projected in the NBS forecast MTF-2013Q4U. While aggregate wage growth

NBS



in the selected sectors fell significantly, it may be expected that the slowdown at the wholeeconomy level was mitigated by relatively higher wage growth in the sectors of public administration, education and human health. This is one reason why wage growth has for a year now been moderately higher than productivity growth.



Chart 30 Wage developments in the economy (annual and quarter-on-quarter percentage changes)



Note: Wage growth in the selected sectors for Q4 2013 is calculated using the SO SR's monthly data. Wage growth in the economy as a whole for Q4 2013 represents the most likely current estimate.

Chart 32 Wage developments and nominal labour productivity (annual and quarterly percentage changes)



Source: SO SR.

Note: Nominal wage growth for Q4 2013 is the projection given in the NBS Medium-Term Forecast (MTF-2013Q4U). Nominal labour productivity is based on the SO SR's flash estimate for Q4 2013. Nominal wage growth in the selected sectors is based on a quarterly average of the monthly wage indicator.



4 PRICES

Inflation fell to 0.0%

Annual consumer price inflation fell in January 2014 for a fifteenth consecutive month. Compared to the previous month, prices rose by a moderate 0.3%. The annual HICP rate was almost 0.2 percentage point lower than projected and included a significant negative contribution from net inflation excluding fuel prices.

The slowdown also reflected, to a lesser extent, the rate of change in energy prices and, to a greater extent, the effect of post-Christmas sales on prices of non-energy industrial goods and low services price inflation. The main downward pressures on non-energy industrial goods price inflation were from decreases in prices of furniture, home furnishings, and motion picture and sound reproduction equipment. January services price inflation was lower than in previous years, owing mainly to low inflation in the segments of restaurant and food service activities, recreation, culture, and personal care services. Among the demand-pull factors accounting for this low inflation were weak consumer demand, changes in consumer behaviour and subdued wage growth, while cost-push factors included decreases in fuel and food prices in the second half of 2013. By contrast, inflationary pressure came from an increase in the rate of change in food prices, which stemmed mainly from a strong month-on-month rise in unprocessed food prices (marking the end of a sharp six-month-long downward trend in these prices).

Inflation over the next months is expected to fluctuate at close to or slightly above 0%. It is further assumed that the average annual increase in the overall price level in 2014 will be less than 1%. A downward, deflationary risk to that outlook may be a greater than projected decline in nonenergy industrial goods prices in February and March. The deflation risk recedes significantly in the second half of 2014 (close to zero) due to the fading of the base effects of a bank charge cancellation and large fall in food prices.

Tab oth	Table 2 HICP components – comparison of projected and actual rates of change (in percent unless otherwise stated)											
			Non-energy industrial goods	Energy	Food	Services	HICP					
эĝг	А	January 2013 – actual figure	0.1	0.5	1.8	0.5	0.7					
char	В	January 2014 – forecast	0.2	-1.3	1.4	0.8	0.5					
nth	C	January 2014 – actual figure	-0.2	-1.9	2.3	0.2	0.3					
-mo	BC	Direction of deviation, if any					lower increase					
Aonth-on	BC	Difference in contribution to month-on-month rate of change	0.14	0.10	0.21	0.10	0.20					
<		(p.p. <i>)</i>	-0.14	-0.10	0.21	-0.18	-0.20					
	D	December 2013 – actual figure	0.0	-0.9	0.7	1.2	0.4					
	E	January 2014 – forecast	0.1	-2.6	0.3	1.5	0.1					
nge	F	January 2014 – actual figure	-0.4	-3.2	1.2	0.9	0.0					
cha	AC	Base effect	moderate	significant	moderate	moderate	moderate					
n-year	DF	Movement of prices compared with previous month	➡	+		♣	moderate slowdown					
Year-o	EF	Direction of deviation, if any					slightly lower than projected					
	EF	Difference in contribution to year-on-year rate of change (p.p.)	-0.14	-0.10	0.21	-0.18	-0.19					
Sour	re SOS	R NRS calculations										







Note: Net inflation comprises non-administered prices of services and non-administered prices of non-energy industrial goods.







Annualised monthly inflation (5-month moving average)
 Annual rate of change

Source: SO SR, NBS calculations.

Note: Net inflation comprises non-administered prices of services and non-administered prices of non-energy industrial goods.

NBS Monthly Bulletin february 2014



5 PUBLIC FINANCES

On 25 February 2014 the European Commission (EC) published its economic forecast up to 2015.4 For Slovakia, the general government deficit is projected to be 2.5% of GDP in 2013, rising to 3.3% in 2014 and 3.4% in 2015, while the general government debt is forecasted at 54.3% of GDP in 2013, increasing to 57.8% in 2014 and 58.4% in 2015. The EC is therefore assuming that the deficits will be higher than the budget targets (2.6% of GDP in both 2014 and 2015). Furthermore, the EC's projection for budget revenues in 2013 includes income from the sale of emergency oil reserves; however, as the EC notes, Eurostat is examining the classification of such one-off income in budget revenue (ESA 95 methodology) and has not yet issued a final decision on the matter. Therefore this income continues to constitute a socalled methodological risk.

The approved general government budget (GGB)⁵ differs in several respects from the conclusions reached in the NBS Analysis of the GGB Proposal, published in November 2013.6 Most importantly, the fiscal deficit target has been reduced to 2.64% of GDP in 2014, from an original level of 2.83%. The fiscal target reduction did not, however, result in a lowering of the structural deficit, which remains at the November level (3.9% of GDP) since a reduction in the fiscal target for 2014 was offset by a similar increase in the amount of one-off factors (the reserve set aside to attain the fiscal target and a refund from the EU budget). Consequently, the consolidation effort is unchanged from November and remains at -0.4% of GDP. Because of the reduction in the fiscal target from the November projection, the fiscal impulse would have a less expansive impact on aggregate demand in 2014 (-0.7% of

GDP, as against the -0.9% assumed in November). The impact of the public sector on the economy differs from the November analysis owing to changes in the composition of one-off factors on the expenditure side (with an aggregate impact of 0.2% of GDP).

Compared to the approved budget for 2014, estimated revenues from one-off measures have been reduced by €524 million or 0.7% of GDP (lower revenues from the sale of telecom licences and the impact of not paying out dividends from state companies SPP and SEPS which had originally been postponed from 2013 to 2014). That reduction is partially offset by an increase in estimated revenues from taxes and social security contributions, which according to the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee (February 2014) will be higher by 0.3% of GDP. Overall, therefore, estimated revenues for 2014 are reduced by €300 million (0.4% of GDP) as against the fiscal target. In view of this information, the result of not compensating for the reduction in revenues would be a general government deficit for 2014 of 2.9% of GDP. The structural deficit (according to EC methodology) for 2014 is assumed to be lower compared with both NBS's November 2013 Analysis and the approved GGB, by 0.3% of GDP, at 3.6% of GDP. This is because revenues from taxes and social securities contributions are higher than projected in the approved budget. Hence, the consolidation effort is expected to be less expansive, at -0.1% of GDP.7

At the same time, the impact of the fiscal impulse would be -0.4% of GDP, lower than projected in the approved budget and in the November 2013 Analysis.

- 4 EC European Economic Forecast, European Economy 2/2014.
- 5 The changes in the approved GGB are described in further detail in the annex to this bulletin.
- 6 Analysis of the General Government Budget Proposal for 2014– 2016, NBS Analytical Commentary No 5, November 2013.
- 7 If, however, the reduction in revenues were offset by measures of a permanent nature, so as to achieve a general government deficit of 2.5% of GDP, the scope of such measures would entail a reduction in the structural deficit, to 3.2% of GDP, while the consolidation effort would be in the order of 0.3% of GDP and the fiscal impulse would have a neutral impact on aggregate demand.



21



6 QUALITATIVE IMPACT ON THE FORECAST

The latest economic growth and employment figures were broadly consistent with expectations. The data confirmed trends described in the current NBS Medium-Term Forecast (MTF-2013Q4U) regarding the recovery of external demand and consequent positive impact on the Slovak economy. The labour market situation appears to have progressed slightly better than projected, which may be reflected in an upward revision of employment estimates over the forecast period. Whether private consumption will pick up significantly is questionable, however, given that private sector wage growth is falling short of projections. The latest forward-looking indicators from both the euro area and Slovakia confirm the trends outlined in the current forecast, with euro-area economies expected to gather momentum from this year. Since inflation has been slightly lower than expected, inflation projections may be revised in the next forecast.



Note: The GDP figure for Q4 2013 is the SO SR's flash estimate.

Chart 39 Employers' expectations and the

annual rate of change in employment







Source: SO SR, NBS and European Commission. Note: The employment (ESA) figure for Q4 2013 is the SO SR's flash estimate. The future figures are based on the quarter-onquarter increases projected in the NBS forecast.









Consolidation effort and fiscal impulse updated against the November Analysis of the General Government Budget Proposal for 2014–2016

A crucial issue for monetary policy is the sustainability of public finances (i.e. the structural deficit and consolidation effort) as is the direct impact on aggregate demand (i.e. the fiscal impulse). The purpose of this update is point out whether and to what extent these indicators will be modified following the incorporation of the latest information regarding:

- the approved general government budget (since in November 2013, when NBS published its Analysis of the General Government Budget Proposal, the GGB had not yet been approved);
- the preliminary conclusions of the Eurostat mission (concerning methodological risks), actual revenues from the auction of telecom licences and dividend payments;
- 3. outcomes of the February meeting of the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee.

Considering the European Commission's latest forecast, NBS does not expect the baseline scenario to incorporate methodological risks (although Eurostat has still to issue a final decision on this question). Compared to the fiscal target for 2014, estimated revenues from one-off measures have been reduced by €524 million or 0.7% of GDP⁸ (lower revenues from the sale of telecom licences and the impact of not paying out postponed dividends from state companies). That reduction is partially offset by an increase in estimated revenues from taxes and social security contributions, which according to the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee (February 2014) will be higher by 0.3% of GDP. Overall, therefore, estimated revenues for 2014 are reduced by €300 million (0.4% of GDP) as against the fiscal target. In addition to the baseline scenario, we have produced a scenario in which the target would be met and the reduction in revenues would be funded without the need for one-off measures.9

1. APPROVED GENERAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET

On 12 December 2013 the Slovak Parliament approved the General Government Budget Proposal for 2014–2016. The approved budget includes a fiscal deficit target of 2.64% of GDP, an improvement on the original target of 2.83%. The fiscal targets for 2015 and 2016 were left unchanged (at 2.6% and 1.5% of GDP, respectively). Compared to the budget proposal, the approved budget includes several changes affecting the structural balance and fiscal impulse.

Among the changes were new projections for revenues from taxes and social security contributions, following the outcome of November's meeting of the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee (TRFC).¹⁰ According to the TRFC, fiscal revenues are expected to be higher than projected in the budget proposal by around €178 million (0.2% of GDP). In addition, the approved budget set aside reserves originally envisaged for covering the consequences of collective bargaining and for attaining the fiscal target, which in total amounted to around €100 million (0.1% of GDP). In the approved budget these funds were used not only to reduce the fiscal deficit target, but also to increase expenditure on health, social services, teachers' salaries and motorway construction by a total of €144 million (0.2% of GDP). Spending on motorway construction was also partly funded out of an EU budget refund of €50 million (0.1% of GDP).

These changes are reflected in the consolidation effort and fiscal impulse, largely through adjustments to the fiscal deficit target and to one-off factors that affect the structural balance. With the incorporation of these changes and NBS assumptions for the utilisation of EU funds, the

- 8 The latest EC forecast indicates a reduction of 0.7% of GDP in 2014.
- 9 A standard assumption in the absence of detailed information, although given past results it may be overly optimistic. The use of one-off measures would have a corresponding downward impact on the structural deficit and consolidation effort.
- 10 The updated projections for tax and social contribution revenues produced by the TRFC's November meeting were incorporated into the September 2013 projections of the Macroeconomic Forecasting Committee.

NBS Monthly Bulletin february 2014





structural deficit (according to EC methodology) would, in the context of an accommodative fiscal policy, increase to 3.9% of GDP in 2014 while the consolidation effort (national methodology) would reach 0.4% of GDP. Such a structural deficit and consolidation effort does not change the estimates of NBS's November Analysis of the General Government Budget Proposal for 2014–2016. The estimated fiscal impulse for 2014 of -0.7% of GDP (against -0.9% in the November Analysis) points to a less expansive impact on aggregate demand.

2. BASELINE SCENARIO

After the budget was approved, media coverage focused on one-off factors affecting the general government deficit in 2013 and 2014. As the EC notes in its latest European Economic Forecast (EEF), Eurostat is currently examining the classification in Slovakia's national accounts of revenue from the sale of emergency oil reserves in 2013. Eurostat's final decision on this matter is still awaited, while the EEF assumes that the oil revenue may be treated as fiscal revenue in 2013. Also to be taken into account is that revenue from the sale of telecom licences in 2014 is budgeted at around 0.2% of GDP (as against 0.3% of GDP in NBS's November analysis). Furthermore, dividend payouts from stateowned SPP and SEPS amounting to 0.4% of GDP were, according to the budget proposal, to have been postponed until 2014, but were finally paid in 2013. Altogether, the decline in estimated revenue from one-measures in 2014 totalled €524 million, or 0.7% of GDP.

In February 2014 the Slovak Ministry of Finance (MF SR) updated its projections for tax and social contribution revenues, revising them up by €189 million (0.3% of GDP) in 2014 and €132 million (0.2% of GDP) in 2015 as against the approved budget. Even higher tax and social contribution revenue projections are given in NBS's updated Medium-Term Forecast (MTF-2013QU), published in January and taking into account the latest macroeconomic developments; they exceed the MF SR forecast by €69 million (0.1% GDP) in 2014 and by €173 million (0.2% of GDP) in 2015. largely because the NBS forecast's assumptions for wage developments and household consumption are more favourable than those of the MF SR's Institute for Financial Policy (IFP). In 2014, however, this positive effect is reduced by the impact of lower nominal GDP. The updated estimate for overall revenues in 2014, adjusted for the impact of lower nominal GDP, exceeded the revenues in the approved budget by €224 million (0.3% of GDP).

Therefore the updated tax and social contribution revenues for 2014 compensate for part of the reduction in revenues.

After taking into account this information, oneoff factors and the economic cycle, the structural deficit (EC methodology) would be 3.6% of GDP. At the same time, the consolidation effort (national methodology) would moderate in comparison with the November assumptions to a less expansive -0.1% of GDP. Under this scenario, in 2014, the impact of the fiscal impulse on aggregate would be less expansive than projected in November 2013, at -0.4% of GDP.

Table 3 How the tax collection outlook under MTF-2013Q4U macroeconomic conditions differs										
from that based on IFP assumptions (February 2014) (EUR millions unless otherwise stated)										
	2014	2015	2016							
Total (% of GDP)	0.0	0.2	0.3							
Total	35	147	272							
Personal income tax	7	16	23							
Corporate income tax	-1	17	39							
Withholding tax	0	0	1							
VAT	29	67	109							
Excise taxes	4	9	15							
Social and health insurance	31	64	90							
Impact of nominal GDP on the deficit target	-35	-26	-5							

Source: NBS, based on IFP calculations of the estimated impact of macroeconomic developments on the general government balance.



The impact of the public sector on the economy has been revised from the November Analysis owing to higher structural tax revenues in 2014 and to the fact that the impact of expenditureside one-off factors is higher than was envisaged in November by 0.2% of GDP (as the fiscal target reserve has been set aside and a refund from the EU budget is expected).

- a) Under variant 2a, information from the baseline scenario is incorporated and the revenue reduction in 2014 is assumed to be offset by alternative measures of a permanent nature, so as to achieve a general government deficit of 2.5% of GDP. In that case, the structural deficit decreases to 3.2% of GDP while the consolidation effort is in the order of 0.3% of GDP, differing from the baseline scenario by 0.7% of GDP. With tax and social contribution revenues revised up by 0.3% of GDP following the update of their outlook after the February meeting of the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee, they would cover consolidation requirements (assuming they are not used to fund additional spending). The impact of fiscal policy on aggregate demand would be neutral.
- b) SinceEurostat's decision on the methodological issue will affect the final general government deficit for 2013, and fiscal performance results for that year are not yet available (they will not be known until after the April 2013 notification), the next part looks at two variants, 2b and 2c, which describe potential scenarios arising from the government's response to the Eurostat decision. Variant 2b illustrates the situation where the methodological risks materialise (Eurostat confirms its reservations and therefore revenue from the sale of emergency oil reserves may not be classified as fiscal revenue in 2013 as originally envisaged; at the same time, however, the government maintains the fiscal deficit target at 3.0% of GDP). Under this scenario, the consolidation effort in 2013 (national methodology) increases to 1.2%, the structural deficit reaches 3.4% of GDP, and the fiscal impulse is highly restrictive (1.6% of GDP). In 2014 the consolidation effort (national methodology) eases to -0.4%, since the budget does not fully compensate for the excluded revenues. Hence fiscal policy has an expansive impact on aggregrate demand (-0.7% of GDP).

c) Variant 2c illustrates the situation where Eurostat confirms its reservations, deciding that revenue from the sale of emergency oil reserves may not be classified as fiscal revenue under the ESA 95 methodology; furthermore, the excluded revenues are not compensated by other measures and consequently the fiscal target for 2013 is not



Council recommendations.



Chart 42 Consolidation effort (national





met, with the general government deficit for the year amounting to 3.3% of GDP. In this case, the consolidation effort in 2013 is lower than that under the previous scenario by 0.3% of GDP, while the structural deficit stands at -3.7% of GDP. The smaller consolidation effort in 2013 translates into a less restrictive fiscal impulse to the economy, at 1.3% of GDP. In 2014 the consolidation effort stands at -0.1%, easing more moderately that it does under the previous variant. Fiscal policy is therefore less expansive compared with the previous scenario, at -0.4% of GDP.

										A	NNEX 1		EUROSY
Table 4 Consolidation effort and fiscal impulse	2												
Consolidation effort (ESA 95: % of GDP)			Estimated		Estimated balan-	Estimated balan-	Original	Analysis of	Appro-	Approved GGB	Approved GGB	Approved GGB	Approved GGB
			halance		ce after payout of	ce after payout of	GGR	the GGB	ved	for 2014-16: NBS	for 2014-16	for 2014-16	for 2014-16: NBS
			based on	Estimated	CEDC and CDD di	CEDC and CDD di	Dromo	Bronocal	CCP for	accumption for EU	NPC accumption	NPC accumption	occumption for
			Dased off	balance	SEPS dilu SPP ul-	SEPS driu SPP ui-	Рюро-	Proposal		assumption for EU	NDS assumption	NDS assumption	assumption for
			assumpti-	after payo-	vidends in 2013	vidends in 2013	salfor	(Novem-	2014–16;	funds utilisation;	for EU funds	for EU funds	EU funds utilisa-
			ons of	ut of SEPS	and elimination	and elimination	2014–16	ber 2013):	NBS as-	actual UMTS licence	utilisation;	utilisation;	tion; actual UMTS
			GGB	and SPP	of revenue from	of revenue from		Original GGB	sumption	revenue; SEPS and	actual UMTS	actual UMTS	licence revenue;
			2014-16	diiu SFF	emergence oil	emergence oil		Proposal for	for EU	SPP dividend payo-	licence revenue;	licence revenue;	SEPS and SPP
				aividends	reserve sales:	reserve sales:		2014-16:	funds uti-	uts in 2013: revenue	dividends:	dividends:	dividend payouts
				in 2013	meeting the	not meeting		NRS	lication	reduction compen-	evclusion of oil	exclusion of oil	in 2013: revenue
					ficeal deficit	the freed deficit		accumptions	insucion	coted by normanent			reduction not
					liscal delicit	the liscal delicit		assumptions		saled by permanent	sales revenue in	sales revenue in	reduction not
					target	target		for EU funds		measures	2013	2013	compensated
	2011	2012	2013	2013	2013	2013	2014 ¹⁾	2014	20141	20142	2014	2014	20142
a	b	C		2	20	20	d	e		Za	20	2c	2
				(BASELINE									(BASELINE
				SCENARIO)									SCENARIO)
1. General government budget balance	-5.1	-4.5	-3.0	-2.6	-3.0	-3.3	-2.8	-2.7	-2.5	-2.5	-2.9	-2.9	-2.9
2. Cyclical component ³⁾	0.0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
3. One-off effects ⁴	-0.2	0.5	1.0	1.4	0.7	0.7	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1
of which:– extraordinary rev. of Telecom Authority from	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
licence sales	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	
– sale of emergency oil reserves outside the GG	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
balance					0.0			0.0					
- dividends	0.2	0.3	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.8	0.8	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
 – reimbursement of EU funds in the transport sector 	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
- reserve originally planned for attaining fiscal target	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
4. Structural balance (EC methodology) $(4 = 1 - 2 - 3)$	-4.0 2.8	-4.0	-5./	-3./	-5.4	-5./	-4.1	-5.9	-5.9	-5.2	-5.0	-5.0	-5.0
5 Impact of introduction of fully-funded pension nillar	-1.2	-1.0	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2	-0.6	-0.2	-0.6	-0.6
6. Construction of motorways and expressways outside	1.2	1.0	0.0	-0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.0
the GG balance	0.1	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
 – construction costs of PPP projects 	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
 government payments for PPP accessibility 	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
- loans received by the National Motorway Company (NDS)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
 NDS repayment of loan principals 	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7. Impact of interest payments	-1.6	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8
8. Primary structural balance (national methodology)	-2.1	-1.9	-1.0	-1.0	-0.7	-1.0	-1.6	-1.4	-1.4	-0.7	-1.1	-1.1	-1.1
(8 = 4 - 5 - 6 - 7)	20	0.2	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.4	0.2	0.4	0.1	0.1
Consolidation effort (national methodology)	3.0	0.2	0.9	0.9	1.2	0.9	-0.6	-0.4	-0.4	0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1
GGB for 2014–16)	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	3.1	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
– revenues from EU budget	3.1	2.9	2.8	2.8	2.8	2.8	4.2	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1	3.1
 – contributions to EU budget 	-1.1	-1.1	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.1	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2
10. Adjusted primary structural balance for calculation of	-4 1	_3.7	-26	-2.6	_23	-2.6	-4.7	_3.4	_3 /	-27	_3 1	_3 1	-3.1
fiscal impulse (10 = 8 – 9)	-4.1	-5.7	-2.0	-2.0	-2.5	-2.0	/	-J.4	-3.4	-2.1	-5.1	-5.1	-5.1
11. One-off effects and impact on aggregate demand	0.1	0.2	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
12. Interest payments to residents	-0.8	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0
13. Fiscal impulse (13 = Δ 10 + Δ 11 + Δ 12)	3.4	0.4	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.3	-2.1	-0.9	-0./	0.0	-0./	-0.4	-0.4
Output gap	0.2	-0.4	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6

Source: NBS. 1) consolidation effort and fiscal impulse measured against column 1 in 2013. 2) consolidation effort and fiscal impulse measured against column 2a in 2013. 3) estimated by the ESCB disaggregated method 4) one-off effects are based on the approach adopted by the Council for Budget Responsibility (CBR) Note: Under the NBS assumption for EU funds utilisation, the co-financing requirement is lower by 0.2% of GDP. The NBS assumption for 2013 is that the increase in revenues in 2013 (higher by 0.4% of GDP according to the Tax Revenue Forecasting Committee, reporting in February 2014) will cover expenditure risks and will not reduce the deficit in 2013 by a sufficient amount.





OVERVIEW OF MAIN MACROECONOMIC INDICATORS FOR SLOVAKIA

Table 5 Selected economic and monetary indicators for the SR

(annual percentage changes. unless otherwise indicated)

	Gross domestic product	HICP	Industrial producer prices	Employ- ment ESA 95	Unem- ployment rate (%)	Industrial produc- tion index	Total receipts of sec- tors	Economic sentiment indicator (long-term average =100)	M3 for ana- lytical pur- poses ¹⁾	Loans to non- financial corpora- tions	Loans to house- holds	State budget balance (EUR mil.)	General govern- ment balance as % of GDP	Debt ratio (general govern- ment gross debt as % of GDP)	Current account (% GDP)	Balance of trade (% GDP)	USD/EUR exchange rate (average for the period)
	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17
2006	8.3	4.3	6.4	2.1	13.3	-	-	112.5	15.3	-	-	-1,051.5	-3.2	30.5	-7.8	-4.7	1.2556
2007	10.5	1.9	1.8	2.1	11.0	-	-	114.6	12.9	25.4	28.6	-781.0	-1.8	29.6	-5.3	-1.2	1.3705
2008	5.8	3.9	6.1	3.2	9.6	-	-	99.1	4.9	15.3	25.3	-704.2	-2.1	27.9	-6.0	-1.1	1.4708
2009	-4.9	0.9	-2.6	-2.0	12.1	-15.6	-18.6	78.0	-2.8	-3.3	11.0	-2,791.3	-8.0	35.6	-2.6	1.5	1.3948
2010	4.4	0.7	-2.7	-1.5	14.4	8.2	7.9	98.7	7.8	1.6	12.5	-4,436.1	-7.7	41.0	-3.7	1.2	1.3257
2011	3.0	4.1	2.7	1.8	13.5	5.5	8.9	98.6	2.9	7.6	11.1	-3,275.7	-5.1	43.4	-3.8	1.5	1.3920
2012	1.8	3.7	3.9	0.1	14.0	7.9	5.2	94.0	8.8	-2.3	10.3	-3,810.7	-4.5	52.4	2.2	5.0	1.2848
2013		1.5	-0.1			5.2	2.1	90.6	5.2	1.7	10.2	-2,023.3					1.3281
2013 Q1	0.5	2.2	1.8	-1.0	14.5	2.6	-0.5	88.4	7.3	-0.3	9.9	-	-3.5	54.7	4.1	7.5	1.3206
2013 Q2	0.8	1.7	0.1	-1.3	14.0	2.8	2.5	89.1	7.4	-0.1	10.0	-	-2.1	58.0	5.0	8.9	1.3062
2013 Q3	0.9	1.4	-0.7	-0.9	14.1	4.9	1.8	89.7	6.2	0.4	10.3	-	-2.2	57.2	1.3	4.7	1.3242
2013 Q4	1.5 ²⁾	0.5	-1.7	0.12)		10.5	4.3	95.0	5.2	1.7	10.2	-					1.3610
2013 Feb.	-	2.2	1.5	-	14.7	0.9	-1.1	87.6	7.9	0.4	9.9	-713.4	-	-	-	-	1.3359
2013 Mar.	-	1.9	0.8	-	14.7	0.7	-1.9	91.9	7.3	-0.3	9.9	-952.7	-	-	-	-	1.2964
2013 Apr.	-	1.7	0.9	-	14.4	3.2	5.4	92.2	7.7	-2.2	10.0	-1,076.1	-	-	-	-	1.3026
2013 May	-	1.8	-0.3	-	14.3	2.5	1.6	89.6	5.8	-2.7	10.0	-1,601.4	-	-	-	-	1.2982
2013 June	-	1.7	-0.3	-	14.3	2.8	0.6	85.5	7.4	-0.1	10.0	-1,664.8	-	-	-	-	1.3189
2013July	-	1.6	-0.5	-	14.0	2.3	1.6	88.8	5.7	-2.2	10.1	-1,625.6	-	-	-	-	1.3080
2013 Aug.	-	1.4	-0.7	-	13.7	4.5	0.4	89.4	6.3	-0.5	10.2	-1,916.6	-	-	-	-	1.3310
2013 Sep.	-	1.1	-0.8	-	13.8	7.4	3.2	91.0	6.2	0.4	10.3	-1,978.0	-	-	-	-	1.3348
2013 Oct.	-	0.7	-1.4	-	13.7	7.0	3.0	95.6	9.0	1.0	10.2	-1,971.5	-	-	-	-	1.3635
2013 Nov.	-	0.5	-2.0	-	13.5	12.7	4.2	94.0	6.5	-0.6	10.3	-1,962.8	-	-	-	-	1.3493
2013 Dec.	-	0.4	-1.7	-	13.5	12.1	5.9	95.4	5.2	1.7	10.2	-2,023.3	-	-	-	-	1.3704
2014 Jan.	-	0.0		-	13.6			98.1				-122.9	-	-	-	-	1.3610
2014 Feb.	-			-				93.7					-	-	-	-	

Sources: Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic, MF of the SR, NBS, the European Commission.

1) Currency in circulation in M3 refers to money held by the public (according to methodology in place prior to 2008).

2) Flash estimate Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic.

More detailed time series for selected macroeconomic indicators http://www.nbs.sk/_img/Documents/_MonthlyBulletin/2014/StatisticsMB0214.xls